

MATH 7330 Homework 3

Andrea Bourque

April 17, 2024

Problem 13

Use the uniform boundedness principle to provide an alternative proof of the Hellinger-Toeplitz theorem.

Proof. Let A be a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Consider the family \mathcal{F} of bounded linear transformations (Ax, \cdot) for $\|x\| = 1$. Then for each $y \in \mathcal{H}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\|x\| = 1$, we have $|(Ax, y)| = |(x, Ay)| \leq \|x\| \|Ay\| = \|Ay\|$, where the inequality is Cauchy-Schwarz. Thus $\{|(Ax, y)| : \|x\| = 1\}$ is bounded for each y . Thus the family \mathcal{F} satisfies the hypotheses of the uniform boundedness principle. We conclude that $\{\|(Ax, \cdot)\| : \|x\| = 1\}$ is bounded. But $\|(Ax, \cdot)\| = \|Ax\|$, so $\{\|Ax\| : \|x\| = 1\}$ is bounded. Thus, A is bounded. \square

Problem 15

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{x_n\}$. Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence of elements of \mathcal{H} . Prove that the following two statements are equivalent:

- (a) $(x, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.
- (b) $(x_m, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each m , and $\{\|y_n\|\}$ is bounded.

Proof. (a) implies (b): Of course we have $(x_m, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ for each m . Now consider the family \mathcal{F} of bounded linear transformations (y_n, \cdot) . For each $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $|(x, y_n)| = |(y_n, x)|$ is a sequence of real numbers that converges to 0, so $\{|(y_n, x)|\}$ is bounded. Thus, by the uniform boundedness principle, $\{|(y_n, \cdot)|\} = \{\|y_n\|\}$ is bounded.

(b) implies (a): Let $M > 0$ be such that $\|y_n\| < M$ for all n . Let $x = \sum c_m x_m$. Then $\|x\|^2 = \sum |c_m|^2$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let N be large so that $\|x - z_N\| < \varepsilon/M$, where $z_N = \sum_{m \leq N} c_m x_m$. Then $(z_N, y_n) = \sum_{m \leq N} \overline{c_m} (x_m, y_n)$ by sesquilinearity and continuity of the inner product. Since this is a finite linear combination of terms that go to 0, we have $(z_N, y_n) \rightarrow 0$. Now $|(x, y_n) - (z_N, y_n)| = |(x - z_N, y_n)| \leq \|x - z_N\| \|y_n\| < \varepsilon$. Thus $|(x, y_n)| < \varepsilon + |(z_N, y_n)|$. Since $|(z_N, y_n)| \rightarrow 0$, and ε is arbitrary, we have $(x, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ as desired. \square

Problem 16

A subset S of a Banach space X is called weakly bounded iff for all $\lambda \in X^*$, $\sup_{x \in S} |\lambda(x)| < \infty$. S is called strongly bounded iff $\sup_{x \in S} \|x\| < \infty$. Prove that these conditions are equivalent.

Proof. Suppose S is strongly bounded. Let $\lambda \in X^*$. Then $\sup_{x \in S} |\lambda(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in S} \|\lambda\| \|x\| = \|\lambda\| \sup_{x \in S} \|x\| < \infty$. Thus S is weakly bounded.

Suppose S is weakly bounded. Note that the statements $\sup_{x \in S} |\lambda(x)| < \infty$ and $\sup_{x \in S} \|x\| < \infty$ are the same as the statements $\{|\lambda(x)| : x \in S\}$ is bounded and $\{\|x\| : x \in S\}$ is bounded. With this reframing in mind, we aim to apply the uniform boundedness principle. By Theorem III.4, there is an injective norm-preserving map $X \rightarrow X^{**}$ which sends x to $\tilde{x} : \lambda \mapsto \lambda(x)$. Let \mathcal{F} be the family of bounded linear maps \tilde{x} for $x \in S$. Then, by hypothesis, for each $\lambda \in X^*$, we have $\{|\tilde{x}(\lambda)| : \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is bounded, since $|\tilde{x}(\lambda)| = |\lambda(x)|$. Therefore, \mathcal{F} satisfies the hypothesis of the uniform boundedness principle, so $\{\|\tilde{x}\| : \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is bounded. Since the map $x \mapsto \tilde{x}$ is norm-preserving, we have $\{\|x\| : x \in S\}$ is bounded, as desired. \square

Problem 18

Extend the Hellinger-Toeplitz theorem to include pairs of operators A, B satisfying $(Ax, y) = (x, By)$.

Proof. We show that A and B are bounded via the closed graph theorem. First, suppose $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $Bx_n \rightarrow y$. Then for any $z \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $(z, y) = (z, Bx_n) = (Az, x_n) \rightarrow (Az, x) = (z, Bx)$, where we have used continuity of inner product. This implies $y - Bx$ is orthogonal to all elements in \mathcal{H} , so it is 0. Thus B is bounded. Now suppose $Ax_n \rightarrow y$. Then for any $z \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $(y, z) = (Ax_n, z) = (x_n, Bz) \rightarrow (x, Bz) = (Ax, z)$, so $y = Ax$. Thus A is bounded. \square

Problem 19

Let X be a Banach space in either of the norms $\|\cdot\|_1$ or $\|\cdot\|_2$. Suppose that $\|\cdot\|_1 \leq C\|\cdot\|_2$ for some C . Prove that there is a D with $\|\cdot\|_2 \leq D\|\cdot\|_1$.

Proof. Consider the identity map $(X, \|\cdot\|_2) \rightarrow (X, \|\cdot\|_1)$. By hypothesis, this map is bounded. It is obviously a linear bijection. By the inverse mapping theorem, the identity map $(X, \|\cdot\|_1) \rightarrow (X, \|\cdot\|_2)$ is bounded, which translates to $\|\cdot\|_2 \leq D\|\cdot\|_1$ for some D . \square