

# MATH 7250 Homework 3

Andrea Bourque

September 2022

## 1 Problem 3

Let  $H$  be subgroup of  $G$  and let  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  be class functions on  $H$  and  $G$  respectively.

(a) Define a function on  $G$  via

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(\gamma)(g) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\substack{t \in G \\ t^{-1}gt \in H}} \gamma(t^{-1}gt).$$

Show directly that  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\gamma)$  is a class function on  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, g \in G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_H^G(\gamma)(x^{-1}gx) &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\substack{t \in G \\ t^{-1}x^{-1}gxt \in H}} \gamma(t^{-1}x^{-1}gxt) \\ &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{\substack{t' \in G \\ t'^{-1}gt' \in H}} \gamma(t'^{-1}gt') = \text{Ind}_H^G(\gamma)(g), \end{aligned}$$

where  $t' = xt$ . Reindexing the sum is valid because  $x : G \rightarrow G$  is a bijection.  $\square$

(b) Let  $C$  be a conjugacy class of  $G$  and let  $C_1, \dots, C_s$  be the (possibly empty) collection of  $H$ -conjugacy classes into which  $C \cap H$  decomposes. Show that

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(\gamma)(C) = \frac{|G : H|}{|C|} \sum_{i=1}^s |C_i| \gamma(C_i).$$

*Proof.* Fix a representative  $g \in C$ . The sum defining  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\gamma)$  picks out elements  $t^{-1}gt = x \in C \cap H$ . There may be overcounting corresponding to the situation where  $t_1^{-1}gt_1 = t_2^{-1}gt_2$  for distinct  $t_1, t_2 \in G$ . This means  $t_1t_2^{-1}$  is in the

stabilizer of  $g$ , which by orbit-stabilizer has order  $|G|/|C|$ . Then all the elements of  $C \cap H$  are overcounted by  $|G|/|C|$ . Thus,

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(\gamma)(C) = \frac{1}{|H|} \frac{|G|}{|C|} \sum_{x \in C \cap H} \gamma(x) = \frac{|G:H|}{|C|} \sum_{x \in C \cap H} \gamma(x).$$

Since  $\gamma$  is a class function, the sum can be broken up into a sum over the  $H$ -conjugacy classes in  $C \cap H$ . Grouping the terms  $\gamma(x)$  for  $x \in C_i$  gives  $|C_i| \gamma(C_i)$ . This gives the desired result.  $\square$

(c) Let  $V$  and  $W$  be representations of  $G$  and  $H$  respectively. Show that  $\text{Ind}_H^G(W) \otimes V \cong \text{Ind}_H^G(W \otimes \text{Res}_H^G(V))$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $\text{Ind}_H^G = \mathbb{C}G \otimes_{\mathbb{C}H}$ . Then define a map  $\eta : \text{Ind}_H^G(W \otimes \text{Res}_H^G(V)) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G(W) \otimes V$  by  $\eta(a \otimes (w \otimes v)) = (a \otimes w) \otimes av$  where  $a \in \mathbb{C}G, w \in W, v \in V$ , and extend by linearity. We should check that this is well-defined with the  $\mathbb{C}H$  tensor product. Namely, for any  $h \in H$ , we have  $a \otimes (w \otimes v) = ah^{-1} \otimes (hw \otimes hv)$ , and the latter is mapped to  $(ah^{-1} \otimes hw) \otimes ah^{-1}hv = (a \otimes w) \otimes av$ , so  $\eta$  is well-defined. Let  $g \in G$ . Then  $\eta(ga \otimes (w \otimes v)) = (ga \otimes w) \otimes gav = g \cdot (a \otimes w) \otimes av$ , so  $\eta$  is a  $G$ -map.

In the other direction, define  $\mu : \text{Ind}_H^G(W) \otimes V \rightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G(W \otimes \text{Res}_H^G(V))$  by  $\mu((a \otimes w) \otimes v) = a \otimes (w \otimes a^{-1}v)$ . Clearly the two maps are inverse to each other, but we still must check that this is well-defined for the  $\mathbb{C}H$  tensor product, and that this is a  $G$ -map. For  $h \in H$ , we have  $(a \otimes w) \otimes v = (ah^{-1} \otimes hw) \otimes v$ , and the latter expression is mapped to  $ah^{-1} \otimes (hw \otimes (ah^{-1})^{-1}v) = ah^{-1} \otimes (hw \otimes ha^{-1}v) = a \otimes (w \otimes a^{-1}v)$ . Thus  $\mu$  is well-defined. Next, for  $g \in G$ , we have  $\mu((ga \otimes w) \otimes gv) = ga \otimes (w \otimes (ga)^{-1}gv) = ga \otimes (w \otimes a^{-1}v)$ , so  $\mu$  is a  $G$ -map. Thus the two representations are isomorphic.  $\square$

(d) Show that  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\gamma \text{Res}_H^G(\delta)) = \text{Ind}_H^G(\gamma)\delta$ .

*Proof.* First note that the  $\text{Ind}_H^G$  that has been defined on class functions acts on characters to give the character of the induced representation. That is, if  $W = \text{Ind}_H^G(V)$ , then  $\chi_W = \text{Ind}_H^G(\chi_V)$  (we showed this in class). Thus, when  $\gamma$  is the character of an  $H$  representation  $W$  and  $\delta$  is the character of a  $G$  representation  $V$ , the equation is exactly the equation between the characters of the representations  $\text{Ind}_H^G(W \otimes \text{Res}_H^G(V))$  and  $\text{Ind}_H^G(W) \otimes V$  respectively. Then, from part (c), the equation holds.

In general,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  can be expressed as a linear combination of characters. Thus, it suffices to show that the expressions on the left and right hand side of the equation are linear in  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ . Certainly, restriction of a function is linear, as is multiplying functions together. The “nontrivial” step is to show that  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\gamma)$  is linear. But this is also clear from the definition, as the sum defining  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\gamma)$  does not depend on  $\gamma$ , and sums are linear. Thus, we are done.  $\square$

## 2 Problem 4

Let  $G$  be a group with subgroup  $H$  (both possibly infinite) and let  $(W, \rho)$  be a representation of  $H$  over the field  $F$ . The coinduced representation of  $W$  is defined by

$$\text{Coind}_H^G(W) = \{f : G \rightarrow W \mid f(gh^{-1}) = \rho(h)f(g) \text{ for all } h \in H, g \in G\},$$

with the  $G$  action given by  $(x \cdot f)(g) = f(x^{-1}g)$ .

(a) Show that  $\text{Coind}_H^G(W)$  is a representation isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}_H(FG, W)$  where  $H$  acts on  $FG$  on the right and the  $G$  action is  $(g \cdot f)(u) = f(g^{-1}u)$ .

*Proof.* It is a representation because  $(xy \cdot f)(g) = f((xy)^{-1}g) = f(y^{-1}x^{-1}g) = (y \cdot f)(x^{-1}g) = (x \cdot (y \cdot f))(g)$  and  $(e \cdot f)(g) = f(e^{-1}g) = f(g)$ . The isomorphism with  $\text{Hom}_H(FG, W)$  is as follows: any  $f \in \text{Coind}_H^G(W)$  extends linearly to a map  $FG \rightarrow W$ , which is an  $H$  map because  $f(h \cdot g) = f(gh^{-1}) = \rho(h)f(g) = h \cdot (f(g))$ . Thus, we have a map in the forward direction. Conversely, a map  $FG \rightarrow W$  always restricts to a map  $G \rightarrow W$ , and again, the  $H$  map condition is exactly the condition on the maps in  $\text{Coind}_H^G(W)$ . Furthermore, the  $G$ -action on  $\text{Hom}_H(FG, W)$  is the same action on  $\text{Coind}_H^G(W)$ , so the two representations are isomorphic.  $\square$

(b) Show that  $\text{Coind}_H^G$  is the right adjoint of  $\text{Res}_H^G$ .

*Proof.* We want to show that  $\text{Hom}_H(\text{Res}_H^G V, W)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Coind}_H^G W)$ . For an  $H$ -map  $f : V \rightarrow W$  and  $v \in V$ , let  $\tilde{f}(v)(g) = f(g^{-1}v)$ . Note that for  $h \in H$  we have  $\tilde{f}(v)(h \cdot g) = \tilde{f}(v)(gh^{-1}) = f(hg^{-1}v) = hf(g^{-1}v) = h\tilde{f}(v)(g)$ , so that  $\tilde{f}(v)$  is an  $H$ -map. Furthermore, for  $x \in G$ ,  $\tilde{f}(xv)(g) = \tilde{f}(g^{-1}xv) = \tilde{f}(v)(x^{-1}g) = (x \cdot \tilde{f}(v))(g)$ , so that  $\tilde{f}$  is a  $G$ -map. The map  $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$  is then a map from  $\text{Hom}_H(\text{Res}_H^G V, W)$  to  $\text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Coind}_H^G W)$ . As an inverse, we take  $f' \in \text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Coind}_H^G W)$  to the map  $\bar{f}'$  which sends  $v \in V$  to  $f'(v)(1)$ . These are inverses because  $\tilde{f}(v)(1) = f(1^{-1}v) = f(v)$  and  $\bar{f}'(g^{-1}v) = f'(g^{-1}v)(1) = (g^{-1} \cdot f'(v))(1) = f'(v)(g)$ .  $\bar{f}'$  is an  $H$ -map because  $\bar{f}'(hv) = f'(hv)(1) = (h \cdot f'(v))(1) = f'(v)(h^{-1}) = h \cdot (f'(v)(1)) = h \cdot \bar{f}'(v)$ . Thus we are done.  $\square$

(c) Show that  $\text{Ind}_H^G(W)$  is isomorphic to the subspace of  $\text{Coind}_H^G(W)$  consisting of the functions supported on finitely many left  $H$ -cosets. In particular, show that  $\text{Coind}_H^G(W) \cong \text{Ind}_H^G(W)$  if  $H$  is of finite index in  $G$ . Can they be isomorphic if the index is infinite?

*Proof.* For  $g \in G, w \in W$ , send  $g \otimes w \in \text{Ind}_H^G(W)$  to the function  $f_{g,w}$  defined by

$$f_{g,w}(x) = \begin{cases} (x^{-1}g)w & \text{if } x \in gH \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin gH \end{cases}$$

First, this map is well-defined because  $g \otimes w = gh^{-1} \otimes hw$  is sent to a map supported on  $gh^{-1}H = gH$ , sending  $x \in gH$  to  $x^{-1}gh^{-1}hw = x^{-1}gw$ , so it is indeed  $f_{g,w}$ . Next, we show  $f_{g,w}$  is compatible with the  $H$  action. This is  $f_{g,w}(xh^{-1}) = hx^{-1}gw = h(f_{g,w}(x))$  for  $x \in gH$ , since  $xh^{-1} \in gH$  also. For  $x \notin gH$ , we have  $xh^{-1} \notin gH$ , so both sides of the equation are 0. Next, we must show that the assignment  $g \otimes w \mapsto f_{g,w}$  is a  $G$ -map. Indeed, for  $y \in G$ , we have  $f_{yg,w}$  is supported on  $ygH$ , and for  $x \in ygH$ ,  $f_{yg,w}(x) = x^{-1}ygw$ . But  $x \in ygH$  if and only if  $y^{-1}x \in gH$ , in which case  $f_{g,w}(y^{-1}x) = x^{-1}ygw$ . Furthermore,  $f_{g,w}(y^{-1}x) = (y \cdot f_{g,w})(x)$ , so the assignment is a  $G$ -map.

We extend by linearity to a map defined on all of  $\text{Ind}$ . Notice that the sums involved in  $FG$  and  $FG \otimes_{FH} W$  are finite, so that the image of  $\text{Ind}$  will consist of (a priori not necessarily all) functions supported on finitely many cosets of  $H$ .

If  $f \in \text{Coind}_H^G W$  supported on finitely many cosets, then pick representatives  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  for the distinct(!) cosets it is supported on. Then, for  $x \in g_i H$ , write  $x = g_i h$ , so that  $f(x) = h^{-1}f(g_i)$ . In particular,  $f$  is determined by its values on the  $g_i$ . Let  $w_i = f(g_i)$ . Then, for  $x = g_i h \in g_i H$ , we have  $f_{g_i, w_i}(x) = x^{-1}g_i w_i = h^{-1}f(g_i) = f(g_i h) = f(x)$ . Then the element  $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i \otimes w_i$  in  $\text{Ind}_H^G W$  is mapped to  $f$  in  $\text{Coind}_H^G W$ . This construction is also independent of the choice of representatives: let  $g'_i = g_i h_i$  for  $h_i \in H$ . Then

$$g'_i f(g'_i) = g_i h_i f(g_i h_i) = g_i f(g_i h_i h_i^{-1}) = g_i f(g_i),$$

so that  $f_{g_i, w_i}$  is independent of the choice of representative for  $g_i H$ . Thus we get a map from the functions supported on finitely many cosets to  $\text{Ind}$ , showing that the two are indeed isomorphic.

If  $H$  is of finite index, then there are finitely many  $H$ -cosets, so all functions in  $\text{Coind}_H^G(W)$  are necessarily supported on finitely many left  $H$ -cosets. Thus  $\text{Coind}_H^G(W) \cong \text{Ind}_H^G(W)$  in this case.

If the index is infinite, you can construct a function which is not supported on finitely many cosets by choosing representatives  $g_i$  for all the cosets and setting  $f(g_i) = w$  for some fixed non-zero element of  $W$ . The rest of the function is determined by the  $H$ -map property. So,  $\text{Ind}$  and  $\text{Coind}$  cannot be isomorphic, unless  $W = 0$ .  $\square$

### 3 Problem 6

Let  $G = SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  where  $p$  is a prime.

(a) Show that  $G$  acts transitively on  $X = \mathbb{F}_p^2 - \{(0,0)\}$  with the stabilizer of  $(1,0)$  consisting of the matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . What is  $|G|$ ?

*Proof.* To show transitivity, it suffices to show that the orbit of  $(1,0)$  is all of  $X$ . Let  $(x,y) \in X$ , and first assume that  $x \neq 0$ . Then  $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}.$$

If  $x = 0$ , then  $y \neq 0$ . Then  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y^{-1} \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y^{-1} \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the action is transitive.

Suppose that  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  fixes  $(1,0)$ . This forces the first column of the matrix to be  $(1,0)$ , i.e.  $a = 1$  and  $c = 0$ . The determinant of such a matrix is  $d$ , so the condition of  $SL_2$  implies  $d = 1$ . The only free parameter is the top-right entry, which can be anything in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . In particular, the stabilizer of  $(1,0)$  has  $p$  elements.

Finally, we have

$$|G| = |X| \cdot |\text{Stab}((1,0))| = (p^2 - 1) \cdot p = p^3 - p.$$

by the orbit-stabilizer theorem.  $\square$

(b) Let  $B$  be the subgroup of upper triangular matrices. If  $\alpha$  is a one-dimensional representation of  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ , show that  $\phi_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} = \alpha(a)$  is a representation of  $B$ .

*Proof.* For  $M_1, M_2 \in B$ , we have

$$M_1 M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} aw & ax + bz \\ 0 & dz \end{pmatrix},$$

so that  $\phi_\alpha(M_1 M_2) = \alpha(aw)$ . Since  $\alpha$  itself is a representation,  $\alpha(aw) = \alpha(a)\alpha(w) = \phi_\alpha(M_1)\phi_\alpha(M_2)$ . This makes  $\phi_\alpha$  a one-dimensional representation of  $B$ .  $\square$

(c) Show that  $\text{Ind}_B^G(\phi_\alpha)$  is irreducible if and only if  $\alpha^2 \neq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . We want to show that  $G = B \sqcup BsB$ . First note that  $B \cap BsB = \emptyset$ , since

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -bc & ac^{-1} - bd \\ -a^{-1}c & -a^{-1}d \end{pmatrix}$$

has a non-zero bottom-left entry. Now suppose  $\begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{pmatrix} \in G$  with  $y \neq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -wy^{-1} \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & z \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{pmatrix},$$

where the condition  $wz - xy = 1$  is used. Thus  $G = B \sqcup BsB$ . Then  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $s$  are a complete set of double-coset representatives.

Now let  $B_s = sBs^{-1} \cap B$ . An element of  $sBs^{-1}$  is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus  $B_s$  consists of the diagonal matrices in  $G$ , which as a group is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$  (and by  $a$  I will mean  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ ). In particular,  $B_s$  is a finite abelian group, so the irreps are one-dimensional and form a group under multiplication. Notice that  $\phi_\alpha$  restricts to  $\alpha$  on  $B_s$ . For the conjugate representation, notice that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\phi_\alpha^s(a) = \alpha(a^{-1})$ . Thus,

$$\langle \phi_\alpha, \phi_\alpha^s \rangle = \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} \phi_\alpha(a) \phi_\alpha^s(a^{-1}) = \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} \alpha^2(a) = \langle \alpha^2, 1 \rangle.$$

Again, since the irreps form a group,  $\alpha^2$  is irreducible. Thus  $\langle \alpha^2, 1 \rangle = 0$  iff  $\alpha^2 \neq 1$ . By Mackey's irreducibility criterion, we are done.  $\square$