

# MATH 7220 Homework

Andrea Bourque

January 2022

## 1 Problem 1

Show that for a subset  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n(k)$ ,  $Z(I(X))$  is the Zariski-closure  $\overline{X}$ .

*Proof.*  $Z(I(X))$  is an algebraic set by definition, so it is closed in the Zariski topology, also by definition. We have the inclusion  $X \subset Z(I(X))$ , because if  $a \in X$ , then for any  $f \in I(X)$ ,  $f(a) = 0$ , so  $a \in Z(I(X))$ . Thus  $\overline{X} \subset Z(I(X))$ .

Now, as  $\overline{X}$  is closed, we have  $\overline{X} = Z(J)$  for some ideal  $J$  of the polynomial ring. Since  $X \subset \overline{X} = Z(J)$ , we know that for any  $a \in X$  and any  $f \in J$ ,  $f(a) = 0$ . Thus any  $f \in J$  is also in  $I(X)$ . The inclusion  $J \subset I(X)$  implies  $Z(I(X)) \subset Z(J) = \overline{X}$ , so we are done.  $\square$

## 2 Problem 2

Describe  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}[x])$ . What about  $\text{Spec}(k[x])$  for any field  $k$ ?

*Proof.* First, we know that  $k[x]$  is an integral domain. Hence  $(0) \in \text{Spec}(k[x])$ . Furthermore,  $k[x]$  is a PID. Any nonzero ideal is of the form  $(f(x))$  for some unique monic polynomial  $f(x)$ , and the ideal is prime iff  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $k$ . This is as far as we can go for arbitrary  $k$ .

For  $k = \mathbb{R}$ , the irreducible polynomials are  $x - a$  and  $x^2 - 2ax + a^2 + b^2$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, whenever a polynomial in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  has non-real roots, they must come in conjugate pairs, giving the polynomial factors of  $(x - (a + bi))(x - (a - bi)) = x^2 - 2ax + a^2 + b^2$ . If the polynomial has a real root, then it has a factor of  $x - a$ . Any non-constant polynomial in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  has at least one (complex) root, so we are done.  $\square$

### 3 Problem 3

If  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  is a ring homomorphism, show that the map  $f = \varphi^a : \text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  is continuous for the Zariski topology.

*Proof.* Let  $X = \text{Spec}(A), Y = \text{Spec}(B)$ . We show that the inverse image of a closed set in  $X$  is closed in  $Y$ . The closed sets in  $X$  are of the form  $V(J)$  for ideals  $J \subset A$ .

We first show that for  $Q \in Y$ , an ideal  $J \subset A$  satisfies  $J \subset \varphi^{-1}(Q)$  iff  $\varphi(J)B \subset Q$ .

( $\rightarrow$ ) Let  $J \subset \varphi^{-1}(Q)$ . Certainly then  $\varphi(J) \subset Q$ . Since  $Q$  is an ideal containing  $\varphi(J)$ , it must contain the ideal  $\varphi(J)B$  which  $\varphi(J)$  generates in  $B$ .

( $\leftarrow$ ) Let  $\varphi(J)B \subset Q$ .  $\varphi(J) \subset \varphi(J)B \subset Q$ , so if  $j \in J$  then  $\varphi(j) \in Q$ , so  $J \subset \varphi^{-1}(Q)$ .

Now we show that  $(\varphi^a)^{-1}(V(J)) = V(\varphi(J)B)$ :  $Q \in (\varphi^a)^{-1}(V(J))$  iff  $\varphi^a(Q) = \varphi^{-1}(Q) \in V(J)$  iff  $J \subset \varphi^{-1}(Q)$  iff  $\varphi(J)B \subset Q$  iff  $Q \in V(\varphi(J)B)$ . Thus the inverse image of closed sets are closed as desired.  $\square$

## 4 Problem 4

Verify that  $(a, s) \sim (b, t)$  (as defined in class) is an equivalence relation on  $A \times S$ . Then show that the formulas for addition and multiplication are well-defined.

*Proof.* Reflexive:  $(a, s) \sim (a, s)$  because  $as - as = 0$ , so certainly there is some  $u \in S$  such that  $u(as - as) = 0$ .

Symmetric: Suppose  $(a, s) \sim (b, t)$  so that there is  $u \in S$  such that  $u(at - bs) = 0$ . Then  $u(bs - at) = 0$ , which means  $(b, t) \sim (a, s)$ .

Transitive: Suppose  $(a, s) \sim (b, t)$  so that there is  $u \in S$  such that  $u(at - bs) = 0$ , and suppose  $(b, t) \sim (c, v)$  so that there is  $w \in S$  such that  $w(bv - ct) = 0$ . We cancel out the  $b$  terms by taking  $0 = wvu(at - bs) + suw(bv - ct) = wut(av - cs)$ . Since  $w, u, t \in S$  and  $S$  is multiplicative,  $wut \in S$ , so this shows  $(a, s) \sim (c, v)$ .

Addition: By symmetry, it suffices to show that  $(a, s) + (b, t) = (at + bs, st) \sim (av + cs, sv) = (a, s) + (c, v)$  when  $(b, t) \sim (c, v)$ . Thus let  $u \in S$  be such that  $u(bv - ct) = 0$ . Then  $u((at + bs)(sv) - (av + cs)(st)) = s^2u(bv - ct) = 0$ , so  $(at + bs, st) \sim (av + cs, sv)$  as desired.

Multiplication: It again suffices to show that  $(a, s)(b, t) = (ab, st) \sim (ac, sv) = (a, s)(c, v)$  when  $(b, t) \sim (c, v)$ . Thus let  $u \in S$  be such that  $u(bv - ct) = 0$ . Then  $u((ab)(sv) - (ac)(st)) = asu(bv - ct) = 0$ , so  $(ab, st) \sim (ac, sv)$ .  $\square$

## 5 Problem 5

*Proof.*

□

## 6 Problem 6

*Proof.*

□