

# MATH 7211 Homework 6

Andrea Bourque

May 9, 2023

## 1 Problem 14.2 From Lecture Notes

Show that a field extension  $E/F$  is normal iff for any extension  $K/E$  and any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(K/F)$ , we have  $\sigma(E) \subseteq E$ .

*Proof.* ( $\rightarrow$ ) Let  $\alpha \in E$ . Then the irreducible polynomial  $m_{\alpha,F}(x) \in F[x]$  has a root in  $E$ , and thus it splits in  $E$ , by definition of normality. But given  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(K/F)$ , we know that  $\sigma(\alpha)$  is a root of  $m_{\alpha,F}(x)$ , since the polynomial's coefficients are fixed by  $\alpha$ . Since  $E$  contains all the roots of  $m_{\alpha,F}(x)$ , and  $\sigma(\alpha)$  is a root, we must have  $\sigma(\alpha) \in E$ . Since  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary element of  $E$ , we must have  $\sigma(E) \subseteq E$ .

( $\leftarrow$ ) Let  $p(x) \in F[x]$  be irreducible with a root  $\alpha \in E$ . Considering  $p(x)$  as an element of  $E[x]$ , let  $K \supseteq E$  be the splitting field of  $p(x)$  over  $E$ . In particular,  $K$  is also a splitting field of  $p(x)$  over  $F$ ; we have just chosen it to contain  $E$ . Now,  $E$  clearly contains  $F(\alpha)$ . For any other root  $\beta \in K$  of  $p(x)$ , the proof of Theorem 27 in Chapter 13 of Dummit and Foote shows that there is an automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $K/F$  which maps  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ . Since  $\alpha \in E$  and  $\sigma(E) \subseteq E$ , we have  $\beta \in E$ . Since  $\beta$  is an arbitrary root of  $p(x)$ , it follows that  $E$  contains all roots of  $p(x)$ , meaning that  $E/F$  is normal.  $\square$

## 2 Problem 14.3 From Lecture Notes

Let  $K/F$  be a field extension, let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G := \text{Aut}(K/F)$ , let  $E = K^H$  (or  $\text{Inv}(H)$ ), and let  $\sigma \in G$ . Show that  $K^{\sigma H \sigma^{-1}} = \sigma(E)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tau \in H$  and let  $x \in E$ . We have  $(\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1})(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(\tau(x))$ . Since  $E = K^H$  and  $\tau \in H$ , we have  $\tau(x) = x$ . Thus  $(\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1})(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(x)$ , so  $\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1}$  fixes  $\sigma(x)$ . Since  $\tau$  and  $x$  are arbitrary,  $\sigma H \sigma^{-1}$  fixes  $\sigma(E)$ , so  $\sigma(E) \subseteq K^{\sigma H \sigma^{-1}}$ .

Conversely, let  $x \in K^{\sigma H \sigma^{-1}}$  and let  $\tau \in H$ . Then  $x = (\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1})(x)$ , so  $\sigma^{-1}(x) = \tau(\sigma^{-1}(x))$ . Thus  $\sigma^{-1}(x)$  is fixed by  $\tau$ . Since  $\tau$  is arbitrary,  $\sigma^{-1}(x)$  is fixed by  $H$ . In particular,  $\sigma^{-1}(x) \in K^H = E$ . Then  $x \in \sigma(E)$ . Since  $x$  is arbitrary,  $K^{\sigma H \sigma^{-1}} \subseteq \sigma(E)$  as desired.  $\square$

### 3 Problem 14.3.5

Exhibit an explicit isomorphism between the splitting fields  $F_1, F_2$  of  $x^3 - x + 1$  and  $x^3 - x - 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ .

*Proof.* As is noted in my solution of Problem 14.3.8, the splitting field of these polynomials is given by adjoining a single root; i.e. they are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]/(x^3 - x + 1)$  and  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]/(x^3 - x - 1)$  respectively. Recall that for a ring  $R$  and ideals  $I, J$ , a homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R$  which restricts to a function  $I \rightarrow J$  determines a unique ring homomorphism  $R/I \rightarrow R/J$  “compatible” (there is a commuting square) with the quotient maps  $R \rightarrow R/I$  and  $R \rightarrow R/J$ . Therefore, it suffices to give an automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$  which restricts to a bijection of ideals  $(x^3 - x + 1) \rightarrow (x^3 - x - 1)$ . For this, we give the map  $x \mapsto -x$ . This is certainly an automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$  (it is its own inverse), and  $x^3 - x + 1 \mapsto -x^3 + x + 1 = -(x^3 - x - 1)$ , so the ideal  $(x^3 - x + 1)$  is mapped bijectively to the ideal  $(x^3 - x - 1)$ . Thus we have an isomorphism  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]/(x^3 - x + 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3[x]/(x^3 - x - 1)$  defined by sending  $x + (x^3 - x + 1)$  to  $-x + (x^3 - x - 1)$ .  $\square$

## 4 Problem 14.3.8

Determine the splitting field of  $x^p - x - a$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , where  $a \neq 0$  is an element of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Show explicitly that the Galois group of the extension is cyclic.

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) = x^p - x - a$ . For any  $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , we have  $f(b) = b^p - b - a = -a \neq 0$ , since elements of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  satisfy  $x^p = x$ . Thus  $f(x)$  has no linear factors in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . If  $\alpha, \beta$  are two roots of  $f(x)$  in a splitting field, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha - \beta)^p - (\alpha - \beta) \\ &= (\alpha^p - \alpha) - (\beta^p - \beta) \\ &= (\alpha^p - \alpha - a) - (\beta^p - \beta - a) = f(\alpha) - f(\beta) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We know that the roots of  $x^p - x$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  are exactly the elements of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , so this shows that two roots of  $f(x)$  differ by an element of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Conversely, if  $f(\alpha) = 0$  and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , then  $\alpha + b$  is a root:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha + b) &= (\alpha + b)^p - (\alpha + b) - a \\ &= \alpha^p + b^p - \alpha - b - a \\ &= (\alpha^p - \alpha - a) + (b^p - b) \\ &= f(\alpha) - 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for a fixed root  $\alpha$  of  $f(x)$ , the other roots are given by  $\alpha + 1, \dots, \alpha + p - 1$ . Then the splitting field of  $f(x)$  is  $\mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$ . We would like to show that  $f(x)$  is irreducible, so that  $F_p(\alpha) = F_p[x]/(f(x))$  and any two roots of  $f(x)$  are Galois conjugates. Suppose that none of the other roots are conjugate to  $\alpha$ . Then the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is  $x - \alpha$ ; in other words,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . But this is a contradiction, as we showed that elements of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  are not roots of  $f(x)$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is conjugate to some  $\alpha + b$  for non-zero  $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . Then there is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_p(b)$  sending  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha + b$ . Applying this automorphism  $b^{-1}$  times gives an automorphism  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha + 1$ , and applying this automorphism  $c$  times gives an automorphism  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha + c$  for any  $c \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . Thus, all the roots of  $f(x)$  are conjugate. In particular, the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  has degree  $p$ , whence it equals  $f(x)$ , so  $f(x)$  is irreducible. As mentioned above, we now know that  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(f(x))$  is the splitting field of  $f(x)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

Now let  $F = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^p - x - a)$ . Note that since  $[F : \mathbb{F}_p] = \deg f(x) = p$ , the field  $F$  is the (up to isomorphism) finite field of order  $p^p$ . Also,  $F/\mathbb{F}_p$  is Galois, since  $f(x)$  is separable (the roots were shown to be distinct).

We have already seen that  $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ , there is always an automorphism of  $F/\mathbb{F}_p$  which sends  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha + m$ . By counting the values of  $m$ , we see that this gives  $p$  automorphisms. But as  $[F : \mathbb{F}_p] = p$ , these are all of the automorphisms. Furthermore, the automorphism  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha + m$  is given by  $\sigma^m$  where  $\sigma : \alpha \mapsto \alpha + 1$ , so  $\sigma$  generates the Galois group, and we are done.  $\square$

## 5 Problem 14.3.10

Prove that  $n$  divides  $\varphi(p^n - 1)$ .

*Proof.* A note before the proof: The usage of  $p$  certainly indicates that it should be prime, but I found two solutions to this exercise which do not require  $p$  to be prime. I will of course assume  $p > 1$ , since  $\varphi(0)$  is not defined. Furthermore, the problem statement is trivial for  $n = 1$ , so I will assume  $n > 1$  as well.

Recall the Fermat-Euler theorem, which states that if  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ , then  $a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Certainly  $\gcd(p, p^n - 1) = 1$  by the Euclidean algorithm, so  $p^{\varphi(p^n - 1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n - 1}$ . It is certainly true that  $p^n = 1 + p^n - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n - 1}$ . Furthermore, for  $0 < m < n$ , we have  $0 < p^m - 1 < p^n - 1$ , so it is impossible for  $p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n - 1}$  to hold. It follows that  $n \leq \varphi(p^n - 1)$ . For convenience, write  $m = \varphi(p^n - 1)$ . Then  $0 = 1 - 1 \equiv p^m - p^n = p^n(p^{m-n} - 1) \equiv p^{m-n} - 1 \pmod{p^n - 1}$ . If  $m - n < n$ , then we must have  $m - n = 0$ , since we observed that no integer strictly between 0 and  $n$  satisfies this congruence. If  $m - n \geq n$ , then we can do the same analysis to show that  $p^{m-2n} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n - 1}$ , and we can repeat our casework on  $m - 2n$ ; either  $m = 2n$  or  $m - 2n \geq n$ . Since  $m$  is finite, this process will eventually terminate, so we will find that  $m = kn$  for some integer  $k$ , as desired.

An alternative proof, following the hint in the textbook, is sketched as follows:  $\varphi(p^n - 1) = |\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/(p^n - 1)\mathbb{Z})|$ , and multiplication by  $p$  is an order  $n$  element of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/(p^n - 1)\mathbb{Z})$ , so Lagrange's theorem concludes the proof.  $\square$