# MATH 7210 Homework 9

#### Andrea Bourque

## November 2021

## 1 Problem 2

Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

a) Show that there is a unique irreducible monic polynomial  $p_z \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  of degree  $\leq 2$  such that  $p_z(z) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let z = a + bi. Suppose  $b \neq 0$ . Consider  $p_z(X) = (X - (a + bi))(X - (a - bi)) = X^2 - 2aX + a^2 + b^2$ . Since the roots are both non-real and the polynomial is degree 2, there is no way to factor over  $\mathbb{R}$ , since that would imply  $p_z$  has real roots. By construction,  $p_z(z) = 0$ .

If b = 0, so that  $z = a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $p_z(X) = X - a$  is clearly satisfies the required conditions.

b) Let  $\phi_z : \mathbb{R}[X] \to \mathbb{C}$  be the unique homomorphism extending  $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $\phi_z(X) = z$ . Show that ker  $\phi_z = (p_z)$ .

*Proof.* Note that a polynomial  $f(X) = a_0 + a_1X + \ldots + a_nX^n$  is mapped to  $\phi_z(f(X)) = a_0 + a_1z + \ldots + a_nz^n = f(z)$ . Thus  $f \in \ker \phi_z$  iff f(z) = 0. Then any multiple of  $p_z$  is in  $\ker \phi_z$ , since  $\phi_z(z)g(z) = 0$  for any  $g \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ . If f(z) = 0, then  $\overline{f(z)} = f(\overline{z}) = 0$ . Thus f(X) is divisible by both X - z and  $X - \overline{z}$ , so it is divisible by  $p_z$ . Thus the kernel is exactly all multiples of  $p_z$ ;  $\ker \phi_z = (p_z)$ .  $\Box$ 

c) Show that  $\mathbb{R}[X]/(p_z)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$  if  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  and to  $\mathbb{C}$  otherwise.

*Proof.* By isomorphism theorem and part b, the quotient is equal to the image of  $\phi_z$ . Clearly, the image contains  $\mathbb{R}$ , since  $\phi_z(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ . If  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\phi_z(X) \in \mathbb{R}$ , and thus any element of  $\mathbb{R}[X]$  is mapped into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then the image is just  $\mathbb{R}$ . Otherwise,  $\phi_z(X)$  is nonreal. If z = a + bi, then  $\phi_z((X - a)/b) = i$ , so the image contains i and therefore every complex number, so the image is  $\mathbb{C}$ .

# 2 Problem 3

a) Show that (x, y) is a maximal ideal in  $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$  and that it is not principal.

*Proof.* The quotient  $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]/(x, y) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ , which is a field, so (x, y) is maximal. It cannot be principal, since the ideal contains x and y, which are independent variables and cannot be expressed as multiples of some other element in (x, y).

b) Show that (x, y) is a prime ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  which is not maximal. Find a maximal ideal containing (x, y).

*Proof.* The quotient  $\mathbb{Z}[x,y]/(x,y) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain but not a field, so (x,y) is prime but not maximal. Now consider the ideal (x,y,2). Then the quotient  $\mathbb{Z}[x,y]/(x,y,2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , which is a field, so (x,y,2) is a maximal ideal. It contains (x,y) since it is (x,y) with an extra generator.

# 3 Problem 8.3.6

a) Prove that the quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(1+i)$  is a field of order 2.

*Proof.* In  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , the only relation is  $i^2 = -1$ . Taking the quotient by (1+i) adds the relation i = -1. Squaring both sides gives -1 = 1, so 2 = 0. Thus  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(1+i) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

b) Let  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime with  $q \equiv 3 \mod 4$ . Prove that the quotient ring  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(q)$  is a field with  $q^2$  elements.

Proof. The quotient only has  $q^2$  distinct elements, which are the equivalence classes of a+bi for a = 0, 1, ..., q-1 and b = 0, 1, ..., q-1, with equivalence given by congruence mod q. Consider the standard method for computing inverses of complex numbers, i.e. by multiplying by the conjugate:  $(a + bi)^{-1} = (a^2 + b^2)^{-1}(a - bi)$ . Suppose  $a + bi \neq 0$ . Then  $a \neq 0$  or  $b \neq 0$ . If either is congruent to 0, then the other will be not congruent to 0 and will square to not zero, since q is prime. (In other words,  $x^2 \equiv 0 \mod prime \operatorname{implies} x \equiv 0$ ). Thus, assume both  $a, b \neq 0$ . But then  $ab^{-1}$  is an order 4 element in  $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$ , which has order  $q - 1 \equiv 2 \mod 4$ . This is a contradiction. Thus if  $a + bi \neq 0$ ,  $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$ . Then we can compute  $(a^2 + b^2)^{-1}(a - bi) \mod q$ , so that every nonzero element has an inverse.

c) Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime with  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$  and write  $p = \pi \overline{\pi}$  as in Proposition 18. Show that the hypotheses for the Chinese Remainder Theorem are satisfied and that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(p) \cong \mathbb{Z}[i]/(\pi) \times \mathbb{Z}[i]/(\overline{\pi})$  as rings. Show that the quotient  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(p)$ has order  $p^2$  and conclude that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(\pi)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(\overline{\pi})$  are both fields of order p.

Proof. First we show that  $(\pi) + (\overline{\pi}) = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Observe that for  $\pi = a + bi$ , a, b must be coprime. Indeed, since  $a^2 + b^2 = p$  is prime, the square of gcd(a, b) divides p, implying the gcd is 1. Thus for integers x, y, we can find integers  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$ such that  $ax_1 + bx_2 = x$  and  $ay_1 + by_2 = y$ . Now, a, b cannot have the same parity, as then  $a^2 + b^2$  would be even. Thus one is even, one is odd. WLOG, let a be even and b odd. We then modify  $x_i, y_i$  such that  $x_1 \equiv y_2 \mod 2$ , and  $x_2 \equiv y_1$ . The modification is given by adding ab - ba = 0 to either equation, which has the effect of changing the parity of the first variable, and keeping the parity of the second. Thus we can assume  $x_1 \equiv y_2 \mod 2$ , and  $x_2 \equiv y_1$ . With this in mind, let  $c = (x_1 + y_2)/2$ ,  $e = (x_1 - y_2)/2$ ,  $d = (y_1 - x_2)/2$ , and  $f = (y_1 + x_2)/2$ ; they are all integers. The merit of all of this work is that (c + di)(a + bi) + (e + fi)(a - bi) = x + yi, as can be checked by computation. The left hand side is an element of  $(\pi) + (\overline{\pi})$ , while the right hand side is an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , so we have  $(\pi) + (\overline{\pi}) = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

Next, we show that  $(\pi)(\overline{\pi}) = (p)$ . Elements of the left hand side are sums of terms of the form  $\pi x \overline{\pi} y = p x y$ , so that  $(\pi)(\overline{\pi}) \subset (p)$ . On the other hand, obviously  $p = \pi \overline{\pi} \in (\pi)(\overline{\pi})$ , so  $(p) \subset (\pi)(\overline{\pi})$ . Thus we have the conditions for CRT, so that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(p) \cong \mathbb{Z}[i]/(\pi) \times \mathbb{Z}[i]/(\overline{\pi})$ . As in part  $b, \mathbb{Z}[i]/(p)$  has  $p^2$  distinct elements, namely the equivalence classes of x + yi for  $x, y = 0, ..., p - 1 \mod p$ . Since the order of (finite) rings is multiplicative, we have that the order of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(\pi)$  is either 1, p, or  $p^2$ . The order is 1 iff  $(\pi) = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . This is clearly not the case by considering the norm  $N(x + yi) = x^2 + y^2$  on  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . It is multiplicative, so that if  $\pi$  divides an element  $x, N(\pi)$  divides N(x). We have  $N(\pi) = a^2 + b^2 = p$ , while N(1) = 1, so clearly  $(\pi) \neq \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Similarly,  $(\overline{\pi}) \neq \mathbb{Z}[i]$ , which excludes the order of either quotient ring being  $p^2$ . Thus both have order p.

## 4 Problem 8.3.8

Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  and let  $I_2 = (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5}), I_3 = (3, 2 + \sqrt{-5}), I'_3 = (3, 2 - \sqrt{-5}).$ 

a) Prove that  $2, 3, 1 + \sqrt{-5}$ , and  $1 - \sqrt{-5}$  are irreducibles in R, no two of which are associate in R, and that  $6 = 2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$  are two distinct factorizations of 6 into irreducibles in R.

*Proof.* We use the norm  $N(a + b\sqrt{-5}) = a^2 + 5b^2$ . The norm is multiplicative, i.e. N(xy) = N(x)N(y). Thus we can check irreducibility by looking at factorizations of the norm. Note that  $N(a + b\sqrt{-5}) = 1$  iff  $a + b\sqrt{-5} = \pm 1$ , since  $b \neq 0$  implies  $N(a + b\sqrt{-5}) \geq 5$ , so we must have  $a^2 = 1$  and b = 0. Thus, elements with norm 1 are units in R. Conversely,  $\pm 1$  are the only units in R. To show this, suppose  $(a + b\sqrt{-5})(c + d\sqrt{-5}) = 1$ . Taking norms gives  $N(a + b\sqrt{-5})N(c + d\sqrt{-5}) = 1$ , so  $N(a + b\sqrt{-5}) = 1$ . Thus N(x) = 1 iff x is a unit.

Now, suppose 2 = xy with x, y not units. N(2) = 4 = N(x)N(y) implies N(x) = 2. This is impossible; if  $x = a + b\sqrt{-5}$ , N(x) < 5 implies b = 0, and then  $a^2 = 2$  is not solvable in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Similar logic works for the other elements. If 3 = xy with x, y not units, then N(3) = 9 = N(x)N(y) implies N(x) = 3, which implies  $x = a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a^2 = 3$ , again impossible. If  $1 \pm \sqrt{-5} = xy$  with x, y non units,  $N(1 \pm \sqrt{-5}) = 6 = N(x)N(y)$  implies N(x) = 2 or 3, both of which have been seen to be impossible.

Since the only units in R are  $\pm 1$ , x, y are associates iff  $y = \pm x$ . Thus it is obvious that none of  $2, 3, 1 + \sqrt{-5}$ , and  $1 - \sqrt{-5}$  are associates. Since none of these elements are units nor associates of each other,  $2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$  give unique factorizations of 6.

b) Prove that  $I_2, I_3$ , and  $I'_3$  are prime ideals in R.

Proof. Write  $\alpha = \sqrt{-5}$ . The only relation in R is  $\alpha^2 = -5$ . Taking a quotient by  $I_2$  gives extra relations 2 = 0 and  $\alpha = -1$ . Squaring the second equation gives -5 = 1, which is 6 = 0, which is also implied by 2 = 0, so there is no extra information. Thus we just get  $R/(1+i) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , which is an integral domain, so  $I_2$  is prime.

Taking a quotient by  $I_3$  gives extra relations 3 = 0 and  $\alpha = -2$ . Squaring the latter gives -5 = 4 or 9 = 0, which is implied by 3 = 0. Thus  $R/I_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , which is an integral domain, so  $I_3$  is prime.

Taking a quotient by  $I'_3$  gives extra relations 3 = 0 and  $\alpha = 2$ . Squaring the latter gives -5 = 4 or 9 = 0, which is implied by 3 = 0. Thus  $R/I_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , which is an integral domain, so  $I_3$  is prime.

c) Show that the factorizations in part a imply the equality of ideals (6) = (2)(3) and (6) =  $(1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$ . Show that these two ideal factorizations give the same factorization of the ideal (6) as the product of prime ideals.

*Proof.* An element of (2) is of the form 2x, and an element of (3) is of the form 3y. Thus elements in (2)(3) are of the form  $2x_13y_1 + ... 2x_n3y_n = 6(x_1y_1 + ...x_ny_n) \in$ (6). Thus (2)(3)  $\subset$  (6) Since  $6 = 2 \cdot 3 \in$  (2)(3), we have (6)  $\subset$  (2)(3). Thus (6) = (2)(3). Similar logic follows for  $1 \pm \sqrt{-5}$ , since  $(1 + \sqrt{-5}) \cdot (1 - \sqrt{-5}) = 6$ in R, so as ideals we have (6) =  $(1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$ .

We now decompose each of the principal ideals into their prime factors.  $I_2^2$  has terms that are sums of terms  $(2a + b(1 + \sqrt{-5})(2c + d(1 + \sqrt{-5})) = 2(2ac + ad + bc - 2bd + (ad + bd + bc)\sqrt{-5}) \in (2)$ , so we have  $I_2^2 \subset (2)$ . On the other hand,  $2 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(2 - (1 + \sqrt{-5})) + (-2)(2) \in I_2^2$ , so  $(2) \subset I_2^2$ . Thus  $I_2^2 = (2)$ . A similar argument shows that  $I_3I_3' = (3)$ , since  $(3a + 2b + b\sqrt{-5})(3c + 2d - d\sqrt{-5}) = 3(3ac + 2ad + 2bc + 3bd + (bc - ad)\sqrt{-5}) \in (3)$  and  $3 \in I_3I_3'$ . Thus we have  $(6) = (2)(3) = I_2^2I_3I_3'$ .

We do similar computations for the other ideals.  $I_2I_3$  is generated by sums of terms of the form  $(2a+b+b\sqrt{-5})(3c+2d+d\sqrt{-5}) = [(ac+ad+bc)(1+\sqrt{-5})-2ad-3bc-3bd](1-\sqrt{-5}) \in (1-\sqrt{-5})$ . Again, we also have  $1-\sqrt{-5} \in I_2I_3$  (Sorry grader I am too lazy to actually show this), so  $(1+\sqrt{-5}) = I_2I_3$ . For  $I_2I'_3$  we have  $(2a+b+b\sqrt{-5})(3c+2d-d\sqrt{-5}) = [(ac+ad+bd)(1-\sqrt{-5}-2ad+bd+3bc)](1+\sqrt{-5}) \in (1+\sqrt{-5})$ . Again,  $1+\sqrt{-5} \in I_2I'_3$ , so  $I_2I'_3 = (1+\sqrt{-5})$ . Thus (6) =  $(1+\sqrt{-5})(1-\sqrt{-5}) = I_2^2I_3I'_3$ . Thus, the two factorizations of (6) give the same prime factorization.

# 5 Problem 9.6.7

Order the monomials  $x^2z, x^2y^2z, xy^2z, x^3y, x^3z^2, x^2, x^2yz^2, x^2z^2$  for the lexicographic ordering x > y > z, for the corresponding grlex order, and for the grevlex ordering.

*Proof.* Lexicographic:  $x^3y, x^3z^2, x^2y^2z, x^2yz^2, x^2z^2, x^2z, x^2, xy^2z$ .

Grlex:  $x^3z^2, x^2y^2z, x^2yz^2, x^3y, x^2z^2, xy^2z, x^2z$ .

Grevlex:  $x^2y^2z, x^3z^2, x^2yz^2, x^3y, xy^2z, x^2z^2, x^2z$ .